

Beer Scoresheet

Entry Number: 000133 Consensus Score: 36 **

Ordinal Position in Flight: 7 of



Judge Name:Adam StoskyCategory:Munich Dunkel (8A)BJCP ID:A1449Bottle□ Appropriate size, cap, fill level, label removal, etc.BJCP Rank:RecognizedInspection:Email:adam@stosky.ca

Aroma						10 /12
Malt	None LOW	Medium	High	Inappropriate	High Malt character, bread crust, toffee,	10/12
Hops	None LOW	Medium	₩	Inappropriate	No hop aroma, appropriate for style	
Fermentation Character	None LOW	Medium	High	☐ Inappropriate	Clean fermentation, no esters	
Other						
Appearance						2 /3
Color	Yellow Gold	Amber Copper	Brown Black	Inappropriate	Deep Copper, Red Highlights	
Clarity	Opaque	Hazy	Brilliant	Inappropriate		
Head: Size	None Small	Medium ▼	Large	Inappropriate		
Head: Retention	Quick		Long Lasting	Inappropriate		
Head: Color	White Iv	ory Beige	Tan ▼	Inappropriate		
Other			_			
Flavor						13/20
Malt	None LOW	Medium	High	Inappropriate	Med-High malt character, toasted bread, toffee	
Hops	None LOW ▼	Medium	High	Inappropriate	Low noble hop character, herbal	
Bitterness	None LOW ▼	Medium	High	Inappropriate	Low bitterness	
Fermentation Character	None LOW ▼	Medium	High	Inappropriate	Clean fermentation, no esters	
Balance	Норру		Malty ▼	Inappropriate	Malt forward, appropriate for style	
Finish/Aftertaste	Dry	•	Sweet	Inappropriate	Malt sweetness carries through	
Other						
Mouthfeel						4 /5
Body	Thin	Medium ▼	Full	Inappropriate	Med-low body a little thin	
Carbonation	None LOW ▼	Medium	High	Inappropriate	Med-low carbonation	
Warmth	None LOW ▼	Medium	High	Inappropriate	No Warmth	
Creaminess	None LOW	Medium	High	Inappropriate		

Astringency	None LOW ▼	Medium Hig	h Inappropriate □	No Astrigeno	cy
Other					
Overall Impression	n				7/1
some more body, it wou	uld also help with the head		so try a higher ma	ash rest temper	You could try adding some carapils to give it ature to leave some more residual sugars to hel
Stylistic Accuracy	Not to Style		▼ CI	assic Example	
Technical Merit	Significant Flaws	▼	FI	awless	
ntangibles	Lifeless	•	W	onderful	
Flaws for Style Acetaldehyde		Alcoholic			
Acetaldehyde		Alcoholic			
Astringent		Brettanomyces			
DMS (Dimethyl Sulfide	e)	Diacetyl			
Estery		Grassy			
Light-Struck		Medicinal			
Metallic		Musty			
Oxidized		Plastic			
Smoky		Solvent			
Sour/Acidic		Spicy			
Sulfury		Vegetal			
Total					36/5
Outstanding (45-50) Excellent (38-44)	World-class example of sty Exemplifies the style well, r		Good Fair	(21-29) (14-20)	Misses the mark on style and/or minor flaws. Off flavors/aromas or major style deficiencies. Unpleasant.
		rameters, some minor flaws.	Problematic	(00-13)	Major off flavors and aromas dominate. Hard to drink.

Based upon the BJCP Beer Scoresheet - Structured Version Copyright ©2019 Beer Judge Certification Program rev. 1.2.1

^{**} At least two judges from the flight in which your submission was entered reached consensus on your final assigned score. It is not necessarily an average of the individual scores.



Beer Scoresheet

Entry Number: 000133 Consensus Score: 36 ** Ordinal Position in Flight: 8 of



Judge Name: BJCP ID:

BJCP Rank:

Email:

Jamie Archibald

A1409 Recognized jamie3@gmail.com Category: Bottle Inspection: Munich Dunkel (8A)

✓ Appropriate size, cap, fill level, label removal, etc.

Aroma						8/12
Malt	None LOW	Medium	High	Inappropriate	Rich malt (bready, maillard). Light chocolate,	
Hops	None LOW ▼	Medium	High	Inappropriate		
Fermentation Character	None LOW ▼	Medium	High	Inappropriate	Clean fermentation	
Other						
Appearance						3 /3
Color	Yellow Gold	Amber Copper	Brown Black ▼	Inappropriate		
Clarity	Opaque	Hazy	Brilliant ▼	Inappropriate		
Head: Size	None Small	Medium ▼	Large	Inappropriate		
Head: Retention	Quick	•	Long Lasting	Inappropriate		
Head: Color	White Ive	ory Beig ▼	e Tan	Inappropriate		
Other			_			
Flavor						14/20
Malt	None LOW	Medium	High	Inappropriate	Rich malt sweetness (not cloying). Low caramel/toffee, slightly nutty (almond), toasted brown bread.	
Hops	None LOW ▼	Medium	High	Inappropriate	Low floral	
Bitterness	None LOW	Medium	High	Inappropriate	Soft rounded bitterness	
Fermentation Character	None LOW ▼	Medium	High	Inappropriate	Clean fermentation	
Balance	Норру	•	Malty	Inappropriate	Malt forward	
Finish/Aftertaste	Dry	•	Sweet	Inappropriate	Malt upfront but finished med-dry	
Other	Malt flavour see	ms to die off quickly				
Mouthfeel						5 /5
Body	Thin	Medium	Full	Inappropriate		
Carbonation	None LOW	Medium ▼	High	Inappropriate		
Warmth	None LOW ▼	Medium	High	Inappropriate	no alcohol warmth	
Creaminess	None LOW	Medium	High	U		

Astringency	None LOW ▼	Medium High Inappro∣			te No a	stringency		
Other	Very nice mouthfo	eel. Some stickiness	and coating in	the mouth fr	om the m	nalts.		
Overall Impression	1							6/10
I thought this beer was vunpleasant. The malt ch					efinitely ri	ich. I found the toa	st to linger a bit whi	ch wasn't
Stylistic Accuracy	Not to Style			▼	Classic E	xample		
Technical Merit	Significant Flaws		▼		Flawless			
Intangibles	Lifeless		•	,	Wonderful			
Flaws for Style		Alcoholic						
riano ioi otylo								
Acetaldehyde		Alcoholic						
Astringent		Brettano	myces					
DMS (Dimethyl Sulfide)	Diacetyl						
Estery		Grassy						
Light-Struck		Medicina	I					
Metallic		Musty						
Oxidized		Plastic						
Smoky		Solvent						
Sour/Acidic		Spicy						
Sulfury		Vegetal						
Total								•
Total								36 /50

Based upon the BJCP Beer Scoresheet - Structured Version Copyright ©2019 Beer Judge Certification Program rev. 1.2.1

Generally within style parameters, some minor flaws.

Very Good

(30-37)

Fair Problematic

(00-13)

Off flavors/aromas or major style deficiencies. Unpleasant. Major off flavors and aromas dominate. Hard to drink.

^{**} At least two judges from the flight in which your submission was entered reached consensus on your final assigned score. It is not necessarily an average of the individual scores.